

175 Burdette Street Spartanburg, South

Grades PK-12 Elementary School

Enrollment 230 Students

PrincipalDr. Joette C. Johnson864-596-8491SuperintendentDr. Thomas D. White, Jr.864-594-4400Board ChairConrad C. Hurst, III864-594-4400



RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

Year	Absolute Rating	Growth Rating
2008	At-Risk	At-Risk
2007	At-Risk	At-Risk
2006	At-Risk	At-Risk
2005	N/A	N/A
2004	N/A	N/A

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

Percent of Student PACT Records Matched for Purposes of Computing Improvement Rating


Percent of students tested in 2007-08 whose 2006-07 test scores were located

96.2%

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

ABOOLOTE TO THINGS OF ELEMENTALLY CONTOCLO WITH OTOBERTO EINE CONTO									
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk					
0	2	10	56	60					

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are Elementary schools with Poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the

Definition of Critical Terms								
Advanced Exceeded expectations, Very high score, very well prepared to work at next grade level								
Proficient	ent Met expectations, Well prepared to work at next grade level							
Basic	Met standards, Minimally prepared, can go to next grade level							
Below Basic	Did not meet standards, must have an academic assistance plan, the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level							

School Profile

Sone of Promis	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=230)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	0.0%	Down from 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	17.7%	N/A	3.1%	2.3%
Attendance rate	90.9%	Down from 91.1%	96.0%	96.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No Change	3.5%	10.4%
With disabilities other than speech	81.8%	Up from 0.0%	7.9%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	16.4%	Up from 5.5%	1.3%	0.6%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	3.5%	Up from 1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=50)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.0%	Up from 50.9%	54.3%	56.7%
Continuing contract teachers	72.0%	Down from 73.6%	70.0%	77.3%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	4.8%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	79.8%	Up from 78.5%	83.2%	86.4%
Teacher attendance rate	93.1%	N/R	95.0%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$47,360	Up 1.8%	\$43,955	\$45,345
Professional development days/teacher	7.2 days	Down from 10.2 days	13.3 days	12.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	21.0	Up from 20.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	6.7 to 1	Down from 8.0 to 1	16.6 to 1	18.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	83.0%	N/R	89.3%	89.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 74.7%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	At-Risk	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$36,732	Down 3.5%	\$8,058	\$7,052
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	66.2%	Down from 67.0%	69.0%	69.1%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	56.1%	Up from 51.1%	62.5%	64.2%

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

2007-2008 was an exciting school year at McCarthy/Teszler school. We accomplished numerous initiatives and have even more planned for next year. One very important initiative this year was the "Buddy Program." We tried to pair every class at McCarthy/Teszler with a class on a traditional school campus. The two classes met and shared a variety of experiences from visiting the apple farm, to participating in music class together to attending community performances together. This gave both groups a clearer vision of the challenges and the successes that can be had with cooperation and community support.

A challenge for our school is finding innovative ways to encourage family/parent participation, assistance in understanding the disabilities our students have, and continued support for programs implemented at school. We tackled this challenge by providing a variety of opportunities this year for parents: parent conference and workshop night, new phone communication system to keep parents informed of school happenings, information sent home about other agencies that provide help and support to children with disabilities, newsletter about school and individual class projects, updated web site, and participation in a Disabilities Awareness Fair at Westgate Mall. We saw participation and interest developing, and our hope is to have even more participation next vear.

We developed new and revised some previous business community partnerships. We invited clubs or businesses to breakfast or lunch and shared our story. Those that we now have positive working relationships with are Kiwanis. Lions Club. Civitans, and a Women's Garden Club. Most have offered to assist in any way possible that will impact student and school success.

We concentrated on the instructional program and made changes that were needed. We added a new software package to our server that will allow the secondary division to have hands on access to up to date resources for teaching functional skills and assessing students for deficient areas. We updated hardware components where needed and made plans for new technology in several classrooms.

We encourage our students to be service minded and to look for ways they can make a difference in the community. This year we had several service projects: a canned food drive for the Greater Spartanburg Ministries, collected socks for the Children's Shelter, held a MS Walk and made a donation to the Multiple Sclerosis Association, raised money for a snow cone machine for the Miracle League and uniforms for Special Olympians, and had an armed service project. Our goal is for our students to experience the satisfaction of aivina.

McCarthy/Teszler School continues to be a shining star in services to children with disabilities in Spartanburg County. Our students benefit from the support of the seven school districts and the community that acknowledge the need of a special comprehensive school and facility.

Dr. Joette C. Johnson, Principal Heather Muller, SIC Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	47	0	22					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.4%	N/R	95.2%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	93.6%	N/R	95.2%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	83.0%	N/R	100.0%					

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 8 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance							
	Our District	State					
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.1%	1.8%					
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.1%	6.8%					

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	21.1%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	90.9%	94.0%	No

^{*} Or greater than last year

McCarthy/Teszler Learning Center 02/16/09-4207904											
PACT Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient and Advanced*	District % Proficient and Advanced*	State % Proficient and Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Languag	ge Arts	- State	Perforr	nance	Objecti	ve = 58	.8% (P	roficien	t and A	dvance	d)
All Students	76	96.1	36.7	35	15	13.3	43.3	46.5	48.2	No	Yes
Gender											
Male	57	94.7	39	31.7	17.1	12.2	46.3	42.8	41.7	N/A	N/A
Female	19	100	31.6	42.1	10.5	15.8	36.8	50.3	55	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	45	95.6	37.5	40.6	15.6	6.3	37.5	69.9	60	I/S	Yes
Africian American	26	96.2	33.3	25	16.7	25	50	29.9	31.7	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	66.1	70.4	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.7	38.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	47	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	76	96.1	36.7	35	15	13.3	43.3	15.3	16	No	Yes
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	55.1	36.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	43	95.4	41.7	30.6	8.3	19.4	38.9	31.7	34	I/S	Yes
Mathematic	s - Stat	e Perfo	ormanc	e Objec	ctive =	57.8% (Proficie	ent and	Advan	ced)	
All Students	76	97.4	35	45	16.7	3.3	36.7	42.2	45.8	No	Yes
Gender											
Male	57	96.5	36.6	39	22	2.4	36.6	43.1	45.6	N/A	N/A
Female	19	100	31.6	57.9	5.3	5.3	36.8	41.3	45.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	45	97.8	42.4	39.4	15.2	3	36.4	69.6	59	I/S	Yes
Africian American	26	96.2	26.1	47.8	21.7	4.3	43.5	24.2	26.9	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.5	71.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	48.1	38.1	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	46.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status											
Disabled	76	97.4	35	45	16.7	3.3	36.7	14	17.1	No	Yes
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	32.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	48.3	38.7	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	43	100	37.8	43.2	13.5	5.4	29.7	26.3	31.4	I/S	Yes

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

1

29

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsized meals

I/S

96.6

I/S

44

I/S

40

I/S

I/S

12

I/S

16

36.4

17.7

27.3

89.3

92.2

96

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

McCa	arthy/Teszlei	r Learning C	Center				02/16/0	9-4207904
PACT	Γ Performan	ce By Grade	e I evel					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced*
			Er	nglish/Langu	lage Arts			
	3	16	100	46.2	23.1	30.8	0	30.8
2	4	12	100	41.7	25	25	8.3	33.3
2007	5	11	100	27.3	36.4	27.3	9.1	36.4
2	6 7	10 13	N/AV 76.9	N/AV 50	N/AV 12.5	N/AV 25	N/AV 12.5	N/AV 37.5
	8	15	100	30.8	61.5	0	7.7	7.7
	3	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
∞	4	11	90.9	50	20	30	0	30
2008	5	13	92.3	16.7	41.7	25	16.7	41.7
2	6	17	100	30	40	10	20	30
	7	11	100	62.5 46.2	37.5	0	0	0
	8	16	100		15.4	15.4	23.1	38.5
		1	ı	Mathema		ı		ı
	3	16	100	53.8	38.5	7.7	0	7.7
70	4	12 11	100 100	41.7	33.3 45.5	25 18.2	0 9.1	25 27.3
2007	5 6	10	N/AV	27.3 N/AV	45.5 N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
,	7	13	76.9	50	37.5	0	12.5	12.5
	8	15	100	46.2	46.2	7.7	0	7.7
	3	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
8	4	11	100	40	50	10	0	10
2008	5 6	13 17	92.3 100	16.7 10	58.3 50	25 30	0 10	25 40
2	7	11	100	75	25	0	0	0
	8	16	93.8	41.7	33.3	16.7	8.3	25
		ı		Scienc				
	3	9	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
_	4	12	100	100	0	0	0	0
2007	5	10	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
20	6	7	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	7	13	84.6	100	0	0	0	0
	8	14 5	100 I/S	100 I/S	0 I/S	0 I/S	0 I/S	0 I/S
~	4	11	100	60	10	20	10	30
2008	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
70	6	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	11	90.9	75	25	0	0	0
	8	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
				Social Stu	udies			
	3	10	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
7	4	12	100	100	0	0	0	0
2007	5	9	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
2	6 7	5 13	N/AV 84.6	N/AV 100	N/AV 0	N/AV 0	N/AV 0	N/AV 0
	8	10	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
	3	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
∞	4	11	100	20	80	0	0	0
2008	5	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	6	11	90.9	14.3	71.4	0	14.3	14.3
	7 8	11 8	90.9 I/S	85.7 I/S	14.3 I/S	0 I/S	0 I/S	0 I/S
	0	0	1/3	1/3	1/5	1/3	1/5	1/3